

**SALT LAKE – WEST VALLEY AREA  
REGIONAL GROWTH COMMITTEE  
TECHNICAL ADVISORY COMMITTEE  
SEPTEMBER 25, 2013  
2:30 PM - 4:00 PM  
MEETING SUMMARY**

AGENDA ITEM	DISCUSSION	ACTION																
<p><b>1. Meeting Summary – July 31<sup>st</sup> – Salt Lake - West Valley RGC TAC</b></p>	<p>Mike Florence, South Salt Lake City, made a motion to approve the July 31, 2013 meeting summary. Grant Crowell, Bluffdale City, seconded the motion and the Salt Lake – West Valley Area Regional Growth Committee Technical Advisory Committee approved the summary unanimously.</p> <p>The Salt Lake – West Valley Area Regional Growth Committee Technical Advisory Committee meeting summaries are posted on the WFRC website (<a href="http://www.wfrc.org">www.wfrc.org</a>) under the “Committees” section on the top of the front page. You can access these summaries by selecting “Regional Growth Committee TAC.”</p>	<p>Approved</p>																
<p><b>2. Draft 2015 RTP Preferred Scenario</b></p>	<p>Ned Hacker, WFRC, as part of the continuing 2015-2040 Regional Transportation Plan (2015 RTP) process, presented an overview of an extensive outreach effort that was conducted during the month of June. A total of 11 small area meetings, each covering specific cities and counties in the Region, invited input on four land use and transportation scenarios from local city and county elected officials, administrators, planners, and engineers. The four scenarios are most easily understood as (1) Less Growth in Centers; (2) Growth in centers consistent with the current Regional Transportation Plan; (3) Medium Growth in Centers; and (4) the Most Growth in Centers. Staff believes that each of the scenarios is a plausible future -- each reflects anticipated demographic shifts and explores the types of land use and transportation decisions that might be made over the next 30 years. The WFRC staff received excellent feedback, comments and direction from the 11 meetings. The small area participants were polled on which scenario they felt was the “most likely to occur” between now and 2040, and which scenario they personally “preferred.” The results of the polling are shown below.</p> <table border="1" data-bbox="402 1171 1338 1474"> <thead> <tr> <th>County</th> <th>Which Scenario is Most Likely?</th> <th>Which Scenario is Preferred?</th> <th>Composite (1/3 from the “likely” response, 2/3 from the “preferred” response)</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>Weber</td> <td>2.1</td> <td>3.0</td> <td>2.7</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Davis</td> <td>2.1</td> <td>2.8</td> <td>2.6</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Salt Lake</td> <td>2.6</td> <td>3.4</td> <td>3.1</td> </tr> </tbody> </table> <p>A number of important steps need to be completed in order to make the transition from the four scenarios to a single preferred land use and transportation alternative, which will form the basis of the draft 2015 RTP. The feedback received from the small area meetings, both the polling results and comments, will inform the development of the preferred scenario in the following fashion. As a starting point, the Region’s land use layer will be adjusted in accordance with the information provided in the “composite” column in the chart above, while at the same time reflecting the input from each individual small area meeting. All comments received from the small area participants, along with individuals who attended the Open Houses, held in July and August will also be considered in developing both the land use and transportation layers of the preferred scenario. In the past several weeks the WFRC met with our planning partners at UDOT, UTA, and MAG to develop a highway and transit network that includes projects that are recommended with the help of travel modeling input and selection criteria. We will continue to refine the multi-</p>	County	Which Scenario is Most Likely?	Which Scenario is Preferred?	Composite (1/3 from the “likely” response, 2/3 from the “preferred” response)	Weber	2.1	3.0	2.7	Davis	2.1	2.8	2.6	Salt Lake	2.6	3.4	3.1	<p>None Required</p>
County	Which Scenario is Most Likely?	Which Scenario is Preferred?	Composite (1/3 from the “likely” response, 2/3 from the “preferred” response)															
Weber	2.1	3.0	2.7															
Davis	2.1	2.8	2.6															
Salt Lake	2.6	3.4	3.1															

	<p>modal transportation network with our partners through November and have a draft preferred scenario for the TAC to review in December. The WFRC staff will go back and visit with the cities and counties in February of next year before producing a final draft of the preferred scenario for Technical Advisory Committee review and RGC and the Regional Council consideration.</p> <p><b>Please contact Jory Johner at 801-363-4250 x1110 for additional information.</b></p>	
<p><b>3. Utah Collaborative Active Transportation Study</b></p>	<p>Evelyn Tuddenham, UDOT, gave an MS PowerPoint presentation updating the Technical Advisory Committee on the Utah Collaborative Active Transportation Study. The study is a regional collaborative effort including UDOT, UTA, MAG, Salt Lake County, and the WFRC. The study will lay the groundwork for the urban bicycle network, enhanced active transportation connections to transit, and demonstrate quality of life benefits including economic, health, and the environment. The study began in August of 2012 and will be completed in October of 2013. Evelyn reviewed the completed work up to date including the no boundaries map, station area walkability, utilizing existing information, and the bike-onomics and health impacts and benefits. The project utilized the WFRC Active Transportation Committee as its steering committee which was expanded to include the advocacy community and the UDOT Regions.</p> <p>Evelyn reviewed the Top 25 Project Areas. Types of projects in the Top 25 included pedestrian access to transit, bicycle facilities on UDOT-managed roads, Bicycle facilities on non-UDOT roads, and feasibility studies. The final report can be accessed at <a href="http://www.ucatsplan.com">www.ucatsplan.com</a> and the Top 25 projects can be reviewed at <a href="http://storymap.fehrandpeers.com/esrimap/UCATS/Top_25/index.html">http://storymap.fehrandpeers.com/esrimap/UCATS/Top_25/index.html</a>.</p> <p><b>Please contact Evelyn Tuddenham at 801-964-4564 for additional information.</b></p>	<p>None Required</p>
<p><b>4. Local Planning Resource Program</b></p>	<p>Val John Halford, WFRC, provided an overview of the new formed Local Planning Resource Program that is scheduled to be formally announced during the Wasatch Choice for 2040 Consortium meeting on October 29<sup>th</sup>. The Resource Program provides assistance in a variety of forms for local planners interested in implementing the Vision, Growth Principles, or utilizing the various tools found in the Wasatch Choice 2040 toolbox. Val presented to the TAC members a MS PowerPoint presentation that covered the Program's purpose, schedule, budget, types of eligible projects, and next steps. As a pilot effort for 2014, the desire is for the Resource Program to be flexible, help lots of communities, and stretch the available dollars. The types of eligible projects would include corridor plans, capacity building, technical assistance, comprehensive plans (either in whole or in part), implementing previously-adopted plans (such as new zoning regulations), public participation, and special studies (such as housing or market studies). The next steps include the development and distribution of a letter of Intent, an application form, and a guidebook explaining the Resource Program and its intent. The Local Planning Resource Program is scheduled to begin on February 3, 2014.</p> <p><b>Please contact Val John Halford at 801-363-4250 x1108 for additional information.</b></p>	<p>None Required</p>
<p><b>5. Salt Lake County Cooperative Plan</b></p>	<p>Max Johnson, Salt Lake County, updated the Technical Advisory Committee on the Salt Lake County Cooperative Plan. The County Cooperative Committee meetings quarterly, and will meet next in Murray on October 30<sup>th</sup>. The Plan includes the Sustainable Communities HUD Grant, Best Practices Project List, and Data Sharing. The County will coordinate work with the Mill Creek area, South Salt Lake, and UTA on a project and working on an East / West Bicycle connection connecting to the Jordan River Parkway.</p> <p><b>Please contact Max Johnson at 801-468-3337 for additional information.</b></p>	<p>None Required</p>
<p><b>6. Consortium Meeting and</b></p>	<p>Ned Hacker, WFRC, reminded the Technical Advisory Committee of the upcoming Wasatch Choice for 2040 Fall 2013 Consortium meeting on October</p>	<p>None</p>

<b>Training Schedule</b>	29 <sup>th</sup> at 2:00pm at the Salt Palace. All day toolbox training sessions will be available in Ogden, Provo, West Valley City, and Farmington a can be accessed on <a href="http://www.wasatchchoice2040.com">www.wasatchchoice2040.com</a> .  <b>Please contact Ned Hacker at 801-363-4250 x1120 for additional information.</b>	Required
<b>7. Other Business</b>		
<b>8. Next Meeting December 18<sup>th</sup>, 2013</b>	The next Salt Lake – West Valley RGC TAC meeting will be held on <b>Wednesday, December 18, 2013 at 2:00 PM at the Midvale City Hall, located at 655 West Center Street.</b>	None Required

ATTENDANCE ROLL

SALT LAKE – WEST VALLEY AREA RGC TECHNICAL ADVISORY COMMITTEE

DATE: 9/25/13

<u>Name</u>	<u>Representing</u>
Jake Warner	South Jordan City
Walt Steinvorth	UDOT
Bryn McCarty	Herriman City
Ray Christensen	Murray City
Grant Crowell	Bluffdale City
Mike Florence	South Salt Lake City
Max Johnson	Salt Lake County - Chairman
Kent Page	Public
David Schwartz	UDOT R-2
Evelyn Tuddenham	UDOT
Lesley Burns	Midvale City
Val John Halford	WFRC
Ned Hacker	WFRC
Jory Johner	WFRC