

**SALT LAKE AREA
REGIONAL GROWTH COMMITTEE
TECHNICAL ADVISORY COMMITTEE
AUGUST 13, 2008
2:00 PM – 3:30 PM
MEETING SUMMARY**

AGENDA ITEM	DISCUSSION	ACTION
<p>1. Meeting Summary – May 14, 2008 – Salt Lake RGC TAC</p>	<p>The Salt Lake Area Regional Growth Committee Technical Advisory Committee approved the May 14, 2008 meeting summary unanimously.</p> <p>The Salt Lake Area Regional Growth Committee Technical Advisory Committee meeting summaries are posted at the WFRC website (www.wfrc.org) under the “Committee” section on the left side of the front page. You can access these summaries by selecting the Technical Advisory Committee tab or with the following link: http://wfrc.org/cms/index.php?option=com_content&task=view&id=70&Itemid=44.</p>	<p>Approved</p>
<p>2. 2009-2014 TIP Update</p>	<p>Ben Wuthrich, WFRC, reviewed the Transportation Improvement Program (TIP) process. He noted that last September notice was sent to the cities, counties, and UDOT requesting letters of intent for projects. Projects were reviewed and concept reports for the projects were submitted in January. The field review, evaluations, and prioritization followed. Beginning in April, the Trans Com Technical Advisory Committees (TAC), Trans Com, WFRC, and the County Council of Governments (COGs) approved the 2009-2014 TIP to go out for public comment between June 25th and July 31st. Two comments were noted: the first supported the 7800 South project; and the other expressed concern that the 10600 South and 11400 South projects would be under construction at the same time.</p> <p>The 2009-2014 TIP can be downloaded at www.wfrc.org. The TIP will be reviewed by Trans Com on August 14th, the Regional Growth Committee (RGC) on August 21st, and is scheduled for approval on August 28th at the WFRC meeting. FHWA and FTA will review the TIP in September, and in October the 2009-2014 TIP will be implemented.</p> <p>Please contact Ben Wuthrich at 363-4230 x121 for additional information.</p>	<p>None Required</p>
<p>3. 2007-2030 RTP Amendments</p>	<p>Ned Hacker, WFRC, presented the 2007-2030 RTP Amendments. He handed out a map of Amendment #2 and Amendment #3, and list of projects and descriptions for both. Both maps and project lists can be found at www.wfrc.org.</p> <p>Ned explained that Amendment #2 was presented to the RGC TACs, RGC, WFRC, and the COGs in May and was approved to go to public comment. The 30-day public comment period took place between June 25th and July 31st. All comments were addressed by the WFRC staff and these responses were handed out to the TAC members. Ned asked for a motion from the TAC to take the Amendment #2 to the RGC and WFRC for approval and adoption. Michael Meldrum, Taylorsville City, made the motion and Tosh Kano, Holladay City, seconded the motion. The TAC approved the motion unanimously to send the Amendment #2 to the RGC and WFRC for approval and adoption.</p> <p>Ned discussed the Amendment #3 projects in detail. He noted that these projects would go to the RGC and then to the WFRC for approval to go to public comment. The 30-day comment period will take place in September and October and all comments received will be posted on the WFRC web page.</p> <p>Tosh asked when the HOV project on I-15 between 500 South and the I-215 would be under construction. The project will start construction in 2009.</p>	<p>None Required</p> <p>Approved</p>

	Please contact Ned Hacker at 363-4230 x136 for additional information.	
4. Visioning Wasatch Choices 2040	<p>Bartly Mathews, WFRC, presented Visioning Wasatch Choices 2040, a visual library of smart growth images to be used for the education of local planners and officials. Bartly briefly discussed the history of how the Wasatch Choices 2040 nine Growth Principles and ten Strategies were developed. He informed the committee that in February 2008, Commissioner Downs asked for a visual presentation on how to apply the principles and strategies to each individual community.</p> <p>Bartly showed a CD of examples of what good development looks like. The CD includes over 2000 images (places in 25 categories) of what implementing the growth principles could look like. Bartley presented examples of the infill development category, which included photographs of Ogden, Park City, South Jordan, and Sugar House. The visual presentation will help planners and elected officials understand that it is happening now and how the growth principles will help improve their community.</p> <p>Bartly discussed the population demographics of the region. He showed age pyramids of population and age cohorts for Utah and other western States. Also presented was age pyramids for Utah from 1980 to 2040.</p> <p>Bartly showed examples of density from the Lincoln Institute of Land Policy – Visualizing Density. Again, these visual examples will help planners and elected officials see what different types of density and mixed use can look like and how they can be incorporated in their master plan updates. Bartley also noted that a glossary of terms from the American Planning Association (APA) Planners Dictionary will be provided to each city.</p> <p>Lastly, Bartly presents local examples of current principles and strategies in the Wasatch Front Region. He discussed the Cottonwood Mall redevelopment area in some detail.</p> <p>Presentations in the near future to city councils and planning commissions on three or four specific principles and strategies that best apply to individual communities will be made by Envision Utah and WFRC.</p> <p>Please contact Val John Halford at 363-4230 x108 for additional information or for a copy of the presentation.</p>	None Required
5. Transportation Issues and Concerns – Riverton City	<p>Trace Robinson and Jason Lethbridge, Riverton City, presented transportation issues and concerns for Riverton. Trace noted that recently Riverton City amended their transportation master plan. The amendment focused mainly of the area west of Bangerter Highway and addressed the traffic concerns of the Mountain View Corridor (MVC) and west from Herriman City through Riverton. Projects identified in this area included 4570 West, 13400 South, Riverton Blvd., 12600 South and 4150 West. Trace said that about 45 to 50% of the traffic on 13400 South and 12600 South is not internally from Riverton. Traffic projections on 4150 West, 4570 West, and Riverton Blvd. show that only 8 to 10% of the traffic is from within Riverton.</p> <p>Jason said that the city staff has been educating the Riverton City Council on the area between Bangerter Highway and MVC, and discussing the Wasatch Choices 2040 Growth Principles and Strategies. Jason showed the current general plan, which is comprised of mainly single family housing, and noted that their planning staff are currently in the process of updating the plan. He noted that one challenge that Riverton is facing is playing catch up.</p> <p>Please contact Trace Robinson or Jason Lethbridge at 208-3137 for additional information.</p>	None Required

<p>6. Salt Lake County East / West Study</p>	<p>Brandon Weston, UDOT Region 2, and Andrew Gemperline, Jacobs Carter Burgess, updated the committee on the Salt Lake County East / West Study. The Study's boundaries are from SR-201 to the Salt Lake / Utah County Line and from I-15 to SR-111. The project initially identified potential corridors and projects beyond the 2007 - 2030 Wasatch Front Regional Transportation Plan. Travel time was used to measure the effectiveness of each project, by comparing the travel time percent increase without the project to the travel time percent increase with the project. Alternative six was eventually selected, which includes 21 improvements. The Study looked for fatal flaws and has a general grasp on impacts with respect to relocations and environmental impacts. General cost assumptions were used in deriving the projects costs. About \$9 billion dollars of projects were identified beyond the 2030 RTP base projects.</p> <p>Please contact Brandon Weston at 975-4900 for additional information.</p>	<p>None Required</p>
<p>7. Draper City TRAX – Preferred Alternative</p>	<p>Mary DeLoretto, UTA, handed out copies of the Draper Transit Corridor Project. The 2007 - 2030 Regional Transportation Plan identified the project as a two-track extension from 10000 South to about 14600 South along the UTA-owned ROW. The Environmental Impact Statement identified the Purpose chapter as being able to: "provide more travel choices; provide faster, more reliable public transportation services in the corridor; improved mobility and connectivity; and increase transportation system capacity." The Needs section identified: "increasing vehicle congestion; lack of reliable travel times; increasing population, employment and urbanization in the Draper study area; and decreasing access to transportation network." She showed pictures of what Draper looked like in 1996 and then in 2006, and explained why the extension is needed.</p> <p>Mary discussed the four technology options and seven alignments that were analyzed in the 2006 Draper Transit Alternatives Study. The four technologies included – Streetcar, Enhanced Bus, Bus Rapid Transit (BRT), and Light Rail Transit (LRT). She noted that the 2006 Draper Transit Alternative Study Final Report recommended that the TRAX extension should consist of LRT technology along the existing UTA owned ROW as preferred.</p> <p>She discussed in detail the Tier 1 and 2 screening used in the section titled Alternatives Analysis / Environmental Impact Statement. She then covered the evaluation criteria which included: cost; ridership; travel-time savings; and travel reliability to name a few. Mary covered alternatives section in detail, including the traffic screening methods used. She also discussed the ridership, linked transit trips, cost, and operating and maintenance costs of all the alternatives. In the end, Alternative A (West of I-15) was given a rating of "Review," Alternative B (State Street) was "Eliminated," and Alternative C (UTA ROW) was "Advanced." Alternative C was then identified as the Locally Preferred Alternative.</p> <p>Marry asked for a motion to take the Locally Preferred Alternative to the Regional Growth Committee and Wasatch Front Regional Council for adoption. Max Johnson, Salt Lake County, made the above motion, and Jason Lethbridge, Riverton City, seconded the motion. The motion was approved, with one vote opposing it made by George Shaw, South Jordan.</p> <p>Please contact Mary DeLoretto at 741-8808 for additional information.</p>	<p>Approved</p>
<p>8. Other Business</p>	<p>Jory Johner, WFRC, handed out "The Future is in Your Hands" DVD's of the October 16, 2007 Workshop about land use and quality growth at the South Towne Expo Center.</p> <p>Please contact Val John Halford at 363-4230 x108 for a copy of the DVD.</p>	<p>None Required</p>
<p>9. Next Meeting Salt Lake RGC TAC – October 8th, 2008</p>	<p>The next Salt Lake RGC TAC meeting will be held on Wednesday, October 8, 2008 at 2:00 PM in the Council Chambers at Midvale City Hall, located at 655 West Center Street (7720 South), Midvale City, Utah</p>	<p>None Required</p>

ATTENDANCE ROLL
SALT LAKE AREA RGC TECHNICAL ADVISORY COMMITTEE
DATE: 8/13/08

<u>Name</u>	<u>Representing</u>
Michael Meldrum	Taylorsville City
Max Johnson	Salt Lake County
Lesley Burns	Midvale City - Chairperson
Tosh Kano	Holladay City
Jory Johner	WFRC
Brandon Weston	UDOT Region 2
Andrew Gemperline	Jacobs Engineering
Larry Gardner	South Salt Lake City
Ben Wuthrich	WFRC
Ned Hacker	WFRC
Mary DeLoretto	UTA
Bartly Mathews	WFRC
George Shaw	South Jordan City
Trace Robinson	Riverton City
Jason Lethbridge	Riverton City