

**SALT LAKE AREA AND OGDEN - LAYTON AREA COMBINED
RGC TECHNICAL ADVISORY COMMITTEE
JANUARY 11, 2006
11:40 PM - 1:00 PM
MEETING SUMMARY**

AGENDA ITEM	DISCUSSION	ACTION
1. Welcome and Introductions	The combined Salt Lake and Ogden - Layton Technical Advisory Committees (TAC) was chaired by John Janson, West Valley City, and Wilf Sommerkorn, Davis County. John opened the meeting by having the TAC members introduce themselves.	None Required
2. Meeting Summary – November 9, 2005	<p>There were no changes, clarifications, or questions on either the Ogden – Layton TAC or the Salt Lake TAC November 9th meeting summaries.</p> <p>There was a motion made by Jan Ukena, Riverdale to approve the Ogden - Layton TAC summary and it was seconded by Steve Parkinson, Ogden City. They were approved by the Ogden - Layton TAC unanimously.</p> <p>There was a motion made by Janice Jardine, Salt Lake City, to approve Salt Lake TAC summary and it was seconded by Dennis Hamblin, Murray City. They were approved by the Salt Lake TAC unanimously.</p> <p>Meeting summaries are posted at http://www.wfrc.org/committee/rgc-sltac.htm and http://www.wfrc.org/committee/rgc-ogtac.htm.</p>	Approved
3. Purpose of TAC	<p>George Ramjoue, WFRC, led a discussion on the purpose of the TAC and how each member could participate. He indicated to the TAC members that one of the reasons for revisiting the purpose once a year was because of turnover. George noted that TAC meeting attendance during the 2005 year was less than 50% from the cities and counties. He also noted that WFRC depends on city and county planners to help with the development of the Regional Transportation Plan (RTP).</p> <p>George started his presentation discussing the structure and role of the Wasatch Front Regional Council (WFRC). In 1971 WFRC was federally designated as a Metropolitan Planning Organization (MPO) so regional planning could take place. WFRC included Weber, Davis, Salt Lake, Morgan and Tooele Counties. The primary focus of an MPO is to conduct Long Range Transportation Planning, Natural Disaster Planning, and Transportation Improvement Programming (TIP). George said that the MPO makes it possible to bring hundreds of millions of dollars of federal transportation funds into the WFRC region.</p> <p>The organizational structure of WFRC includes 18 board members, which are elected officials. George noted that WFRC staff has the same bosses that the cities and counties have. He handed out the “Joint Regional Transportation Planning Committee Structure.” Under the WFRC there are two committees, one called Trans Com and the other the Regional Growth Committee (RGC). Trans Com and its TACs work with short range planning and the TIP, while the RGC and its TACs work with long range planning and the RTP. TACs are comprised of city and county engineers and planners, respectively. The RGC also has three committees that meet periodically. These committees are the Corridor Preservation Committee, Transit 2030 Committee, and the Regional Open Space Committee. George explained that WFRC and the Mountainland Association of Governments (MAG), the MPO for Utah County, coordinate with a combined committee named the Joint Policy Advisory Committee (JPAC), which has its own technical advisory committee. George stated that the reason the RGC was separated from the Trans Com was to provide more attention and input to the RTP and land use issues.</p> <p>George stated that there is added value for each person that attends the TAC meetings. He also noted that as a group, specific recommendations to the RGC are made. A comment was made that the information is needed in advance of the presentation to allow for enough time to think about a course of action or recommendation. George said that the new agendas and calendars for the RGC and TAC meetings are currently being developed and will be available at the next TAC meetings.</p>	None Required

	<p>George encouraged all planners to attend the RGC TAC meetings and asked the planners to inform their mayors that they are attending regularly. He said he is sure the mayors would encourage planners to attend and participate in the TAC meetings.</p> <p>George asked the question how TAC meetings could be more productive. Ted Knowlton, Envision Utah, suggested that TAC members give input on agenda items, more action items, and possibly a five minute round table discussion on what is happening in each jurisdiction. Peter Matson, Layton City, agreed with the round table idea. George noted that currently the agendas are developed by the WFRC staff with review from the chairs of the TAC. He asked the TAC members for possible future agenda items. Some suggestions included discussions about the connections to the Kennecott development, Davis County Trails Master Plan, North Weber County corridor preservation, and county corridor preservation and Senate Bill 8. George asked that the TAC members contact him by phone or email for other agenda item suggestions.</p> <p>Please contact George Ramjoue at 363-4230 x111 for additional information.</p>	
<p>4. Mission Statement of the TAC</p>	<p>George Ramjoue, WFRC, handed out the Wasatch Front Regional Council Regional Growth Committee Mission Statement. He briefly went over the primary objectives, recommendations, and the clarifications. The primary objectives include: 1) To provide guidance and oversight to the Long Range Transportation Plan and the process, 2) To provide guidance and oversight to the corridor preservation process, and 3) To facilitate the coordination of planning in the region.</p> <p>John Janson recommended we have a mission statement for the TACs with objectives and recommendations. George said that the TACs have the same mission statement as the RGC since they make recommendations to the RGC.</p> <p>The Wasatch Front Regional Council Regional Growth Committee Mission Statement can be downloaded at http://www.wfrc.org/committee/RGC%20-%20Mission%20Statement.pdf</p> <p>Please contact George Ramjoue at 363-4230 x111 for additional information.</p>	
<p>5. Overview of Wasatch Choices 2040</p>	<p>Ted Knowlton, Envision Utah, gave a PowerPoint presentation overview of Wasatch Choices 2040. He explained that in the past WFRC used the existing land use plans from the cities and counties and then matched up a transportation system to those plans. With the Wasatch Choices 2040 process we looked at how transportation affects land use and how land use affects transportation. Ted also explained what the region's future might look like. There were two primary outcomes of the process, one was to establish the Growth Principles and Objectives and the second was to develop a Regional Vision. Copies of the Growth Principles were handed out to the TAC members. An electronic version is available at http://www.wfrc.org/reports/Growth%20principles%2010-27-05.pdf The Growth Principles and Objectives were discussed briefly and Ted noted that they were adopted by the WFRC on October 27, 2005. Using a PowerPoint presentation, Ted went over some of the results of the Dan Jones survey that was completed. He presented the Wasatch Choices 2040 process which included workshops, scenarios, open houses, the adoption of the Growth Principles and Objectives and Vision, and finally the city council briefings. WFRC has been visiting city councils during in the months of November, December, and January. Council members are being asked if they support the Growth Principles and plan to use them as they update their plans over time. This in turn gives WFRC an indication that the city / county is willing to consider growth patterns that reflect the Growth Principles.</p> <p>George noted that 37 of the 48 cities and counties councils have been presented to by WFRC and Envision Utah. He said about two-thirds of the cities and counties have said yes to the Growth Principles and Vision, with the other one-third considering them, and one city indicating that it will not incorporate the Growth Principles in future planning. Since the meeting, five more cities have been visited leaving seven cities and counties yet to be briefed. These include West Jordan, North Ogden, Cottonwood Heights, Davis County, Salt Lake County, and Roy.</p> <p>A lengthy discussion, prompted by Albert Whipple, HAFB, took place among TAC members about future TAC action items and recommendations to the RGC. It was agreed that there would be action items, or more recommendations for the TAC to act on in the future.</p>	<p>None Required</p>

	<p>There was also a request for a copy of the PowerPoint presentation by Layton City for use by their Land Use and Transportation General Plan Committees. Jory Johner, WFRC, has a copy of the PowerPoint presentation and can be reached at 458-3090 or at jjohner@wfr.org.</p> <p>Please contact Ted Knowlton at 303-1458 for additional information.</p>	
6. Revised Schedule For RTP Approval	<p>Val John Halford, WFRC, updated the TAC members on the revised RTP schedule. He handed out new Combined MPO/UDOT Planning Process charts, Combined MPO/UDOT Generalized RTP Time Schedule, and the Transition Process From Recommended Vision to the RTP. Val noted that WFRC is currently transitioning from the visioning process to the needs assessment on the first handout.</p> <p>WFRC, MAG, and UDOT, in conjunction with the Federal Highway Administration (FHWA), have agreed to extend the adoption and approval date of the RTP to May 2007. The new RTP will need to address the Safe, Accountable, Flexible, Efficient, Transportation Equity Act: A Legacy for Users (SAFETEA-LU) requirements.</p> <p>Please contact Val John Halford at 363-4230 x108 for additional information.</p>	
7. Locally Preferred Land Use Scenario	<p>Scott Festin, WFRC, updated the TAC members on the next step of the locally preferred land use scenario. Scott said that the WFRC can only incorporate changes into the locally preferred land use scenario used for the RTP if WFRC gets clear direction from the city or county. If no direction is given then WFRC will defer to the current local master plan.</p> <p>Scott will review the TAZ levels for population and employment with each city and county this summer. He reminded the TAC members that the population and employment figures will be used for transportation planning purposes only and not for any funding purposes. He said the WFRC will have new county level population projections from the GOPB by this summer.</p> <p>Please contact Scott Festin at 363-4230 x113 for additional information.</p>	
8. Regional Transportation Concerns	<p>Jory Johner, WFRC, asked the TAC members if there were any regional transportation concerns the WFRC should be aware of in preparation of the next RTP. TAC members asked that the WFRC look at the connection between the North Legacy Parkway and the South Legacy Parkway, the type of facility that North Legacy will be, a logical termination point in Weber County, the redistribution of bus lines into the commuter rail stops in Davis and Weber County, and the east/west traffic in northwest Davis County and in western Salt Lake County.</p> <p>Please send Jory other regional transportation concerns at jjohner@wfr.org.</p> <p>Please contact Jory Johner at 363-4230 x110 for additional information.</p>	
9. Other Business	None.	None Required
10. Next Meeting – February 8, 2006	<p>The next Ogden – Layton TAC meeting will be on Wednesday February 8, 2006 at 10:30 AM at the Clearfield City Hall (55 South State Street) on the 2nd Floor.</p> <p>The next Salt Lake TAC meeting will be on Wednesday February 8, 2006 at 1:30 PM at the West Valley City Hall (3600 South Constitution Blvd.) in the Multi-Purpose Room.</p>	None Required

ATTENDANCE ROLL

SALT LAKE AREA AND OGDEN - LAYTON AREA COMBINED RGC TECHNICAL ADVISORY COMMITTEE

Date: 1/11/06

<u>Name</u>	<u>Representing</u>
Jory Johner	WFRC
David Beecher	UTA
Tom Roach	Salt Lake County
Brad Gilson	Cottonwood Heights City
George Ramjoue	WFRC
Wayne Jager	UDOT
John Janson	West Valley City – SL Chair
Val John Halford	WFRC
Dennis Hamblin	Murray City
Jim Bolser	Draper City
Janice Jardine	Salt Lake City
George Shaw	Sandy City
Rod Brocius	Herriman City
Ted Knowlton	Envision Utah
Jan Ukena	Riverdale City
Steve Parkinson	Ogden City – O-L Vice-Chair
Albert Whipple	Hill AFB
Peter Matson	Layton City
Fred Turner	West Point City
Paul Vidmer	UDOT
Mark Larson	Roy City
Greg Scott	WFRC
Scott Festin	WFRC
Lesley Burns	Midvale City
Jim Gentry	Weber County
Larry Gardner	South Salt Lake City
Craig Barker	North Ogden City
Gregg Benson	Clearfield City
Rodger Worthen	Syracuse City
Bill Flanders	West Bountiful City
Andy Thompson	Kaysville City
Kelly Lund	FHWA
Nick Norris	Taylorsville City
Allen McCandless	SLC Dept of Airports
Randy Park	UTA
Barry Burton	Davis County
Bruce Talbot	Pleasant View City
Jeff Monroe	Washington Terrace City
Ken Martin	Marriott-Slaterville City
Bill Morris	Marriot-Slaterville City
Wilf Sommerkorn	Davis County – O-L Chair
Sherrie Christensen	Morgan County
Kevin Smith	Cottonwood Heights City
Ken Klinker	Farmington City
Frederick Lutze	Riverton City
Dyan Day	Woods Cross City
Aric Jensen	Bountiful City
Blaine Gehring	Bluffdale City