

OGDEN / LAYTON AREA
REGIONAL GROWTH COMMITTEE'S TECHNICAL ADVISORY COMMITTEE
August 10, 2005
10:35 AM – 11:55 PM
MEETING SUMMARY

AGENDA ITEM	DISCUSSION	ACTION
1. Welcome and Introductions	The Ogden / Layton Technical Advisory Committee (TAC) Chair Wilf Sommerkorn, Davis County, opened the meeting. The TAC members introduced themselves.	None Required
2. Meeting Summary – May 11, 2005	There were no changes, clarifications, or questions on the May 11 th meeting minutes. The May 11 th meeting summary was approved by the TAC unanimously.	Approved
3. Review of MPO/UDOT Coordination	<p>Jory Johner, Wasatch Front Regional Council (WFRC), gave a brief overview of the MPO/UDOT coordination effort. This coordination effort between the WFRC, Mountainland Association of Governments (MAG), Dixie MPO, Cache MPO, and the Utah Department of Transportation (UDOT) will help coordinate the Regional Transportation Plans (RTP) for each entity. Each organization will produce their own RTP, with one Executive Summary for all plans. These five organizations have formed an Executive Committee and a Coordination Committee with three sub-committees (Finance Sub-Committee, Technical Sub-Committee, and Public Involvement Sub-Committee). These committees meet monthly or bimonthly. He referenced a "Planning Process" flow chart that explains the RTP process that all four MPOs and UDOT developed and will use from the first step of the overview to the last step of implementation. Jory also distributed three handouts for the TAC to review. The first handout was the "Generalized RTP Time Schedule." This handout showed the time schedule for each step of the planning process. He noted that WFRC is currently working on the overview, visioning, and needs assessment with the alternative sections being started in the near future. The next handout distributed was the "Coordination Areas" that specified areas to synchronize and which sub-committee was assigned to oversee the tasks. The handout also discussed the timing and schedule, document outline and content, and the Executive Summary. George Ramjoue discussed the "RTP Considerations" handout with 22 areas that are relatively new to the RTP process. He gave a brief overview to the TAC on how the RTP will consider NEPA, purpose and need, how the transportation plans affect the economy, land use, safety, and active living. All organizations have agreed to consider the list of 22 ideas and include them in the RTP.</p> <p>Wilf Sommerkorn made the comment that it is important that the TAC understand the process, and will be asked in the near future to make recommendations and comments on transportation alternatives and specific projects. This is an important role for the TAC and comments will be taken to the Regional Growth Committee (RGC).</p> <p>Please contact Jory Johner at 363-4230 x110 for additional information.</p>	None Required
4. Public Involvement Schedule and Open Houses	<p>Sam Klemm, WFRC, updated the TAC on the public involvement partnership with Envision Utah over the past six months. In the last couple of weeks Envision Utah and WFRC have had three open houses for the "Wasatch Choices 2040" visioning process. Two open houses were held in Salt Lake County and one in Weber County. The last of the open houses for the WFRC area will be in Farmington on August 10th. The WFRC is also setting up open houses for the 2006 – 2010 Transportation Improvement Program (TIP) review and a date for the last WFRC 2030 LRP Update.</p> <p>Future public involvement for WFRC will include kiosks in the Cross Roads Mall, Layton Hills Mall, and the New Gate Mall. The WFRC will set up the displays for two days each from around 11:00 AM to 6:00 PM during the last week of September and the first week of October.</p> <p>The WFRC will also form a stakeholders group to review the upcoming RTP. This stakeholder group, along with the TAC, RGC and WFRC, will review the transportation alternatives, project selections, and the Draft RTP. Upcoming open houses for the RTP will be in May 2006 for the alternatives portion, and in September 2006 for the final public comments on the plan.</p> <p>WFRC is also meeting with Environmental Justice groups, and receiving comments from them. These groups include: NAACP, the Centro de la Familia, the Disability Law Center, Family Connection Center (housing for the homeless and food banks), Utah Association of Community Services (non-profit service providers to needy disabled individuals), and Area Authority on Aging and the Community Action Program. WFRC is still working on establishing a Native American contact.</p> <p>Please contact Sam Klemm at 363-4230 x116 for additional information.</p>	None Required

<p>5. Update on Regional Growth Principles</p>	<p>George Ramjoue, WFRC, updated the TAC on the Regional Growth Principles. He handed out a section of the Draft Wasatch Growth Principles from the “Wasatch Choices 2040” brochure. George noted that the principles act as a guide for decisions that impact growth, transportation, and the environment. He also briefly discussed each of the nine topics of the growth principles. The growth principle topics include: Transportation, Land-Use, Environment, Public Health and Safety, Collaboration and Implementation, Economy, Housing, Infrastructure, and Cultural and Historic Values.</p> <p>George asked the TAC for comments, by email or phone, on the Draft Wasatch Growth Principles.</p> <p>George noted that the RGC Steering Committee will be working on strategies to implement these nine principles. George also welcomes comments on strategies.</p> <p>Please contact George Ramjoue at 363-4230 x111 for additional information.</p>	<p>None Required</p>
<p>6. Update on Wasatch Choices 2040 Scenarios</p>	<p>Ted Knowlton, Envision Utah, gave a PowerPoint presentation on the “Wasatch Choices 2040” scenarios. Ted noted that not one scenario would be chosen as the best, but Envision Utah would be taking the best aspects from each scenario and presenting that as the preferred scenario. Ted gave some background information on how the scenarios were chosen. He explained that there were 13 workshops in four counties with almost 1,000 participants who gave input on transportation, land-use, and open space. Envision Utah then derived some common themes from these maps. These themes included growth through centers, land recycling, variety of housing, and bike and pedestrian amenities throughout the region.</p> <p>Ted noted that 25-35% of the transportation tape put on the maps were for bicycle and pedestrian trails and routes. He also noted there was not a lot of agreement on the type of transportation between transit and highways, and this fact drove the diversity of the transportation types for each scenario.</p> <p>There were four scenarios tested, with the first one being the current trend or “Base Line”. This scenario had a transportation emphasis that fits trend growth, center emphasis was typical commercial districts, and the suburban emphasis was the current plan of 80% single family households. The second scenario tested was a “Transit Emphasis.” The transportation emphasis for this scenario is rail transit, the center emphasis is transit oriented development (TOD), and the suburban emphasis is neighborhoods. The third scenario is a “Network of Multimodal Streets”. The transportation emphasis for this scenario is Bus Rapid Transit (BRT) and arterial street improvements, the center emphasis is linear mixed-use centers adjacent to the corridors, and there were no changes to the suburban emphasis from the current plans. The fourth scenario is entitled “Freeway Emphasis”. The transportation emphasis for this scenario calls for upgrading of the freeway network in the Wasatch Front Region, the center emphasis is decentralized employment centers, and the suburban emphasis is an increase in availability of smaller lot sizes in new subdivisions. Ted also noted that the open space and trails map was a stand alone section.</p> <p>Ted then discussed the indicators for the scenarios, which compared how each scenario matched up. He handed out portions of the PowerPoint presentation with these indicators in more detail. Some of the transportation indicators discussed were traffic congestion, driving distance, vehicle hours of travel, increase in transit ridership, and commute time. He then discussed the land-use indicators, which included mix of housing types, growth near public transportation, land reuse, vacant land consumption, balance between jobs and housing, and the growth in mixed use areas. Ted reviewed how the scenarios compared in various environmental conditions, such as growth impact on sensitive lands, water use, and carbon monoxide emissions.</p> <p>Ted handed out a questionnaire on the scenarios and asked that each TAC member fill one out. The questionnaire can be faxed to 801-983-0040 or filled out online at www.envisionutah.org.</p> <p>Please contact Ted Knowlton at 363-1458 for additional information.</p>	<p>None Required</p>
<p>7. Other Business</p>	<p>Kip Billings, WFRC, updated the TAC on the 2006 -2010 TIP conformity. He handed out six tables that show that the TIP conforms with Carbon Monoxide (CO) in Salt Lake City and Ogden City, and Particulate Matter of ten microns or less (PM10) for both Direct Particulates and Nitrogen Oxides (NOx) in Ogden City and Salt Lake County.</p> <p>Kip also noted that the 1-hr ozone conformity for Davis County has been revoked. There is now an 8-hr ozone standard that all counties and cities conform to.</p> <p>He also noted that the EPA has approved a new budget for the CO in Salt Lake City. The State Air Quality Board has approved a new PM10 plan for Ogden City, but it still needs approval from EPA.</p> <p>Please contact Kip Billings at 363-4230 x115 for additional information.</p>	<p>None Required</p>
<p>8. Next Meeting – September 14th</p>	<p>The next meeting is scheduled for September 14, 2005 at 10:30 AM at the Clearfield City Hall on the 2nd Floor. There may be a conflict with this date, so check the next agenda.</p>	<p>None Required</p>

ATTENDANCE ROLL

OGDEN / LAYTON AREA REGIONAL GROWTH COMMITTEE'S TECHNICAL ADVISORY COMMITTEE

Date: 8/10/05

<u>Name</u>	<u>Representing</u>
Jory Johner	WFRC
Gregg Benson	Clearfield City
Brian Carver	GOPB
Andy Thompson	Kaysville City
Ted Knowlton	Envision Utah
Wilf Sommerkorn	Davis County – Chair
Kent Bush	Clearfield City
Jeff Holden	Uintah City
Jeff Monroe	Washington Terrace City
George Ramjoue	WFRC
Jill Stark	FHWA
Kelly Lund	FHWA
Annie Hedborg	Farmington City
Fred Turner	West Point City
Albert Whipple	Hill AFB
Janet Thorsted	Uintah City
Jan Ukena	Riverdale City
Lynn McKelsen	Morgan City
Kip Billings	WFRC
Troy Wolverton	Roy City